

# Number Theory Homework #1 Spring 2026

Instructor: *Chan Jeong Kuan*

Solutions by: *Haoyu Zhu*

## EXERCISES

### 1 UFD

1. Exercise 1.1:

*Proof.*  $(a, b)|(b, r)$ :  $r = a - qb$ , so  $(a, b)|r$ . Additionally,  $(a, b)|b$ .

$(b, r)|(a, b)$ : by virtue of  $a = qb + r$ , then proceeds similar asforehead.  $\square$

2. Exercise 1.3:

- $(187, 221) = (187, 34) = (34, 17) = 17$ .
- $(6188, 4709) = (4709, 1479) = (1479, 272) = (272, 119) = (119, 34) = (34, 17) = 17$ .
- $(314, 159) = (159, 155) = (155, 4) = 1$

3. Exercise 1.16:

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a unique factorization domain and  $(u, v) = 1$ , one can decompose  $u, v$  with  $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2, e_i > 0$ , and  $p_i$  primes:

$$u = \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} p_i^{e_i}; v = \prod_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} p_i^{e_i}; uv = \prod_{i=1}^{n_2} p_i^{e_i}$$

In light of  $uv = a^2$ ,  $e_i$  must all be even. Consequently,  $u, v$  are squares.  $\square$

4. Exercise 1.21

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, assume  $\text{ord}_p a \leq \text{ord}_p b$ , and write  $r = \text{ord}_p(a+b)$ ,  $s = \text{ord}_p a$ ,  $t = \text{ord}_p b$  satisfying

$$a = p^s q_a, b = p^t q_b, (a+b) = p^s (q_a + p^{(t-s)} q_b),$$

where  $q_a, q_b$  are relatively prime to  $p$ . Because  $p^s$  divides  $a+b$ , the inequality holds.

Moreover, when  $t > s$ ,

$$q_a + p^{t-s} q_b \equiv q_a \pmod{p}$$

, so  $s$  is the maximal power of  $p$  factorizing  $a+b$ , thereby the equality.  $\square$

## 5. Exercise 1.23

*Proof.* Suppose that  $a, b$  are both odd, then  $c^2$  is even. As a result,  $c$  is even and  $4|c^2 = a^2 + b^2$ , but  $a^2, b^2$  are equivalent to 1 modulo 4. This is impossible. Consequently, one of  $a, b$  should be even, say  $a$ . For  $a, b, c$  are pairwise coprime,  $b, c$  are odd numbers. Now  $a^2 = (c-b)(c+b)$ , and 4 divides both sides. One obtains:

$$\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{(c-b)}{2} \frac{(c+b)}{2}$$

Again, by virtue of  $(b, c) = 1$ , there exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $xb + yc = 1$ , then

$$(x+y)\left(\frac{c+b}{2}\right) + (y-x)\frac{(c-b)}{2} = 1.$$

Hence  $\left(\frac{c-b}{2}, \frac{c+b}{2}\right) = 1$ . According to Exercise 1.16, both  $\frac{c+b}{2}$  and  $\frac{c-b}{2}$  are squares which are coprime. Thereby the existence of  $u, v$  as stated in the problem.

Conversely, when  $a = 2uv, b = v^2 - u^2, c = v^2 + u^2$ , then

$$a^2 + b^2 = 4u^2v^2 + u^4 - 2u^2v^2 + v^4 = c^2$$

.

$\square$

## 6. Exercise 1.25

*Proof.* If  $a^n - 1$  is prime, note that  $a^n - 1 = (a-1)(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2} + \dots + 1)$ . Since  $a^n - 1$  is prime, one of these factors must be 1. Clearly  $a^{n-1} + \dots + 1 > 1$  for  $a > 1$ , so we must have  $a - 1 = 1$ , hence  $a = 2$ .

Now suppose  $n$  is composite, say  $n = pq$  with  $p, q > 1$ . Then  $2^n - 1 = 2^{pq} - 1 = (2^p)^q - 1$ . Since  $x^q - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{q-1} + \dots + 1)$ , we have  $2^p - 1 \mid 2^{pq} - 1$ . Similarly,  $2^q - 1 \mid 2^{pq} - 1$ . Since  $2^{pq} - 1$  is prime, one of these divisors must be 1 or the number itself. But  $2^p - 1 > 1$  and  $2^q - 1 > 1$  for  $p, q > 1$ , contradicting that  $2^n - 1$  is a prime. Therefore  $n$  cannot be composite, so  $n$  is prime.  $\square$

7. Exercise 1.33

*Proof.* Necessity: if  $\alpha = a + bi$  is a unit, then  $\exists c + di$  such that  $(a + bi)(c + di) = 1$ . Take modulus, and we have  $\lambda(a + bi)\lambda(c + di) = 1$ , where  $a, b, c, d$  are integers and thus  $(a^2 + b^2), (c^2 + d^2)$  are non-negative integers and have to be both 1. Hence  $\lambda(\alpha) = 1$ .

Sufficiency: when  $\lambda(\alpha) = 1, \alpha = 1, -1, i, -i$  which can be verified to be units because  $(-1) * (-1) = 1, i * (-i) = 1$ .  $\square$

## 2 Arithmetic Functions

### 8. Exercise 2.6

*Proof.* For each integer  $k \geq 1$ , the numbers among  $1, 2, \dots, n$  that are divisible by  $p^k$  are  $p^k, 2p^k, 3p^k, \dots, \lfloor n/p^k \rfloor p^k$ . Thus there are exactly  $\lfloor n/p^k \rfloor$  multiples of  $p^k$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Now consider the prime factorization of  $n!$ . The exponent of  $p$  in  $n!$  counts how many factors of  $p$  appear in the product  $1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot n$ . Each multiple of  $p$  contributes at least one factor of  $p$ . Among these, the multiples of  $p^2$  contribute another more  $p$ , and so on.

Therefore, the total exponent of  $p$  in  $n!$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p n! &= (\text{number of multiples of } p) \\ &\quad + (\text{number of multiples of } p^2) \\ &\quad + (\text{number of multiples of } p^3) + \dots \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^3} \right\rfloor + \dots \end{aligned}$$

This sum is finite since  $\lfloor n/p^k \rfloor = 0$  when  $p^k > n$ . □

### 9. Exercise 2.7

*Proof.* For the first inequality, note that  $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^i} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{n}{p^i}$ . Therefore,

$$\text{ord}_p n! \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p^i} = n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^i} = n \cdot \frac{1/p}{1 - 1/p} = \frac{n}{p-1}.$$

For the second inequality, we write  $n!$  as a product over all primes:

$$n! = \prod_{p \leq n} p^{\text{ord}_p n!}.$$

Using the inequality just proved,

$$n! = \prod_{p \leq n} p^{\text{ord}_p n!} \leq \prod_{p \leq n} p^{n/(p-1)}.$$

Taking the  $n$ th root of both sides yields

$$(n!)^{1/n} \leq \prod_{p \leq n} p^{1/(p-1)},$$

where the product is taken over all primes  $p$  dividing  $n!$  (i.e., all primes  $p \leq n$ ). □

10. Exercise 2.8

*Proof.* Assume, for contradiction, that there are only finitely many primes. Then the product  $\prod_p p^{1/(p-1)}$  over all primes would be some finite constant  $C$ , independent of  $n$ . Consequently, for all  $n$  we would have

$$(n!)^{1/n} \leq C.$$

However, we know that  $n! \geq (n/2)^{n/2}$  for  $n \geq 2$  (since at least the last  $n/2$  factors are  $\geq n/2$ ). Taking  $n$ th roots gives

$$(n!)^{1/n} \geq \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

The right-hand side grows without bound as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This is a contradiction for sufficiently large  $n$ , and hence there are infinitely many primes.  $\square$

11. Exercise 2.26

*Proof.* • Verification of  $\zeta(s)^{-1} = \sum \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s}$

Starting from the Euler product representation of  $\zeta(s)$ :

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}.$$

Taking the reciprocal:

$$\zeta(s)^{-1} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right).$$

Expanding each term as an infinite product:

$$\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) = \sum \frac{(-1)^k}{(\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s}.$$

- Verification of  $\zeta(s)^2 = \sum \frac{\nu(n)}{n^s}$

Squaring the Euler product results in a double sum:

$$\zeta(s)^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(mn)^s}.$$

We introduce the function  $\nu(n)$ , which counts the number of ways to write  $n$  as a product of two factors  $m$  and  $n$ :

$$\nu(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1.$$

Thus, we can partition the sum above in terms of the value of  $mn$ , write  $M$ , and obtain:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(mn)^s} = \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n|M} \frac{1}{(M)^s} = \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu(M)}{M^s}.$$

- Verification of  $\zeta(s)\zeta(s-1) = \sum \frac{\sigma(n)}{n^s}$

Starting from the product representation:

$$\zeta(s)\zeta(s-1) = \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^s} \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s-1}} \right).$$

Expanding the double sum:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^s n^{s-1}} \stackrel{(M=mn)}{=} \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n|M} \frac{n}{M^s} = \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{n|M} n}{M^s} = \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(M)}{M^s}.$$

where  $\sigma(M) = \sum_{d|M} d$  is the sum of divisors function.

□